Case 3:14-cv-03264-JD Document 2973-1 Filed 11/17/22 Page 1 of 5

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Joseph W. Cotchett (State Bar No. 36324) Adam J. Zapala (State Bar No. 245748) Elizabeth T. Castillo (State Bar No. 280502) COTCHETT PITRE & McCARTHY LLE 840 Malcolm Road Burlingame, CA 94010 Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Facsimile: (650) 697-0577 jcotchett@cpmlegal.com azapala@cpmlegal.com ecastillo@cpmlegal.com Lead Counsel for the Indirect Purchaser Plan	
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 211 222	IN RE CAPACITORS ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 3:17-md-02801-JD Case No. 3:14-cv-03264-JD DECLARATION OF ERIC SCHACHTER IN SUPPORT OF INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO DISTRIBUTE SETTLEMENT FUNDS TO ELIGIBLE CLAIMANTS Date: December 22, 2022 Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: Courtroom 11, 19th Floor Judge: Hon. James Donato
23 24 25 26 27 28		
		- 1 -

I, Eric Schachter, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am a Vice President of A.B. Data, Ltd.'s Class Action Administration Division ("A.B. Data"), whose Corporate Office is located in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. I am fully familiar with the facts contained herein based upon my personal knowledge.
- 2. I submit this Declaration at the request of Lead Counsel to provide the Court and the parties to the above-captioned action (the "Action") with updated information regarding processing of Claim Forms and distribution of the settlement proceeds to the class. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently thereto.
- 3. A.B. Data was designated as Claims Administrator in this Action with primary duties including: (1) disseminating notice to the Class; (2) receiving, reviewing and processing Claim Forms; and (3) calculating Class Members' *pro rata* share of the Net Settlement Funds.
- 4. A.B. Data has now completed the processing of all Claim Forms, and hereby submits its administrative determinations regarding the submitted Claim Forms in preparation for a distribution of the Net Settlement Funds to Authorized Claimants.
- 5. As detailed in my previous declaration submitted to the Court on January 6, 2020 (ECF No. 1063-7) and April 9, 2020 (ECF No. 1274-1), A.B. Data mailed potential members of the Settlement Classes a customized Notice Packet pre-populated with purchase data reflecting their total purchases of electrolytic capacitors and film capacitors as reflected in distributor data obtained by the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs through Rule 45 subpoenas during discovery in the Action. Each Settlement Class Member had the option to agree to the pre-populated purchase data or supplement their Claim Form with additional purchases evidenced by supporting documentation. The pre-populated Claim Forms mailed to Settlement Class Members contained a total of \$716,982,842.67 and \$48,830,504.74 in aggregate purchases of electrolytic capacitors and film capacitors, respectively, in the distributor data for Settlement Class Members sent a Notice Packet. The initial deadline for Settlement Class Members to submit a claim was November 12, 2019.
- 6. As detailed in my previous declaration submitted to the Court on March 10, 2022, beginning on November 9, 2021, A.B. Data provided direct notice to potential Settlement Class Members of additional settlements reached with the Shinyei and Taitsu Defendants. These

additional settlements were limited to purchases of film capacitors in six indirect purchaser states (i.e., California, Florida, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, and New York). Settlement Class Members who had not previously submitted a Claim Form were required to submit a Claim Form by February 18, 2022.

- A.B. Data has received and processed all Claim Forms in accordance with the requirements set forth in the applicable Settlement Agreements and related documents. Through this claims process, A.B. Data has determined that the Authorized Claims with no deficiencies total \$329,251,610.66 and \$52,026,725.41 in purchases of electrolytic capacitors and film capacitors, respectively. This represents a claims rate of approximately 45% and 106% based on claimed purchases of electrolytic capacitors and film capacitors as compared to all known as reflected in distributor data obtained by the parties to the Action. Based on our experience in similar settlements, this claim rate is a higher than the typical result in class action litigation, especially in consumer or indirect purchaser actions. Each Authorized Claim and their qualifying purchase amount is identified on the Authorized Claims Summary, attached hereto as Exhibit A and Exhibit A-1. The foregoing claims rate differs slightly from the numbers previously provided to the Court in or around April of 2020 due to additional claims submitted through the settlements reached with the Shinyei and Taitsu Defendants, and standard auditing and fraud prevention efforts to weed out claims or purchases that did not qualify for payment, as discussed further below.
- 8. During the claims processing and documentation review, A.B. Data identified certain claims that were deficient in that they failed to provide information as required by the Claim Form. A.B. Data also identified through audits certain claims that appeared to be submitted by non-Settlement Class Members or otherwise were ineligible to participate in the settlements. As a result of these validation steps, A.B. Data has rejected a number of claims as ineligible. Prior to rejection, each claimant with a deficient or ineligible claim was sent a Notice of Ineligibility, which notified the claimant their claim was being rejected and provided the claimant with an explanation of the

¹ While the validly claimed film purchases exceed the distributor data provided to the parties, the distributor data did not include all class period purchases such that it is not an unexpected result that the aggregate claimed purchases have exceeded the known purchases.

3

4 5

7 8

6

10

11

9

12 13 14

16 17

15

18

19

20 21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

deficient or ineligible condition and guidance on how to resolve the condition, where applicable. An example of the Notice of Ineligibility is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

- 9. For claimants with deficient or ineligible claims that unsuccessfully attempted to perfect their claim after being sent the Notice of Ineligibility, A.B. Data subsequently sent a Final Determination Letter to advise the claimant of the final status of their claim and to provide a final opportunity for the claimant to disagree in writing with the determination. An example of the Final Determination Letter is attached as Exhibit C. Each rejected claim, and the reason for rejection, is identified on the Rejected Claims Summary, attached hereto as Exhibit D.
- 10. Claims received after the claim-filing deadlines and before the date of this declaration, as well as perfected claims and items of late corrective correspondence received before the date of this declaration, did not cause any delay in the processing of the administration overall. Accordingly, A.B. Data recommends that the Court approve A.B. Data's administrative determinations to accept these limited late claims, perfected claims, and all corrective correspondence received by November 4, 2022. A.B. Data further recommends that all claims received, including corrective correspondence, received after November 4, 2022, be rejected as late as they could not be processed without delaying the progress of the administration.
- 11. Regarding distributions of the proceeds of the settlements to Authorized Claimants, A.B. Data recommends the following:
- Using the Net Settlement Fund available for distribution after setting aside all Court-approved fees and expenses, A.B. Data will calculate the final *pro rata* payment amount for each Authorized Claimant based on each Authorized Claimant's eligible purchases of electrolytic capacitors and film capacitors as compared to the total eligible purchases for all Authorized Claimants. A minimum payment amount of \$1.00 will be implemented such that the few Authorized Claimants with a *pro rata* share of less than \$1.00 will receive a \$1.00 payment. This minimum payment is not unusual in claims administration processes and is recommended in this case since payments of less than \$1.00 are economically impractical as compared to the cost to print and mail the check and are more likely to not be cashed by the recipient. Since we only expect